Holding On to Organic!!

A Grassroots Perspective Concerning Big Food’s Threat to Organic Standards

by Steve Gilman

The integrity of the USDA green-and-white organic label is at stake. Many members of the grassroots organic community have fought long and hard to maintain the spirit and substance of organic standards. Despite OTA’s justifications, this action is viewed as a brazen attempt by Big Food processors to water down regulations and muscle in on organic’s good name.

What We Can Do About It!

• Remember – we vote with our wallet on a daily basis. Conventional food manufacturers regularly use thousands of unlabeled synthetic processing substances in everyday foods. Buy Organic, but read organic labels carefully. Choose “100% Organic” products and select fresh, local, whole foods over processed ones. Support ‘Fair Trade’ items in the marketplace.

• The Interstate Council is planning to expand the NOFA website (www.nofa.org) to present more information and talking points.

• Strategies are still being considered by organic groups on how best to address the OTA action, including: repealing the rider; modifying it in the upcoming rulemaking process; and initiating new legislation. Bookmark the “Organic Allies” websites for updates and stay tuned for Action Alerts.

• Contact your representatives in Congress to protest the corporate attack on Organic standards. Urge House members to join the Organic Caucus (sample letter and talking points will be on NOFA website). Protest the undemocratic rider action. Demand real ethics reform in Congress.

• Write to food manufacturing companies: protest the OTA processors’ action and hold them to the spirit and substance of higher organic standards.

• Support your local Food Coops, Farmers Market, CSA (Community Supported Agriculture) Farm, and restaurants sourcing local produce.

• Encourage school and college food programs to purchase local and organic produce and products.

• Encourage consumers to join and support your local NOFA

Who’s What -- Acronyms used in this Article

GMOs – Genetically Modified Organisms
NOP – National Organic Program
NOSB – National Organic Standards Board
OFPA – Organic Food Production Act of 1990
OTA – Organic Trade Association
USDA – United States Department of Agriculture

The organic community learned of the rider only after OTA privately circulated the amendment in Congress shortly before its enactment. The public reacted quickly to alerts from the National Campaign for Sustainable Agriculture, Center for Food Safety, Organic Consumers Association and other public-interest group allies, flooding Congress with over 320,000 letters, phone calls and emails in opposition. Even though the insider rider strategy prevailed, there is still opportunity for action by the organic community to influence the final outcome. There is an upcoming rulemaking phase that will be open to public comment, as well as direct action initiatives underway to persuade Congress to repeal the legislation. Thanks to the current lobbying scandals in this election year, representatives are also particularly vulnerable to ethics issues. Although the OTA action seems like a done deal at this point, there is still much we can do.

The integrity of the USDA green-and-white organic label is at stake. Many members of the grassroots organic community have fought long and hard to maintain the spirit and substance of organic standards. Despite OTA’s justifications, this action is viewed as a brazen attempt by Big Food processors to water down regulations and muscle in on organic’s good name.

Back-Door Deal Weakens Organic Standards

Last October, Republican leadership tacked a tiny rider loaded with big repercussions onto the massive 2006 Agricultural Appropriations bill. Inserted at the behest of lobbyists working for the Organic Trade Association (OTA), the rider benefits large food processors -- altering provisions of the 15-year-old Organic Food Production Act (OFPA) and weakening organic standards in a single stroke. The secretive deal also pulled an end-run around the democratic process -- excluding the minority Democrats on the conference committee and attaching the rider without debate or vote after the meeting was adjourned.

Written in obscure legal language, the rider effectively nullifies a 2005 Court decision affirming OFPA’s ban on “synthetic ingredients” in processed organic foods while reinforcing a loophole allowing the use of hundreds of synthetic processing substances without review. It also allows dairies to use non-organic replacement animals and gives the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) unprecedented power to grant “emergency exemptions” to allow non-organic ingredients without citizen review when organic ones are deemed not commercially available.
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Organic Label At Risk

The big food companies clearly understand how much consumers have come to trust the organic label. The Court rulings would have required them to use the lesser “Made with Organic” designation, which OTA claims does not command the higher premiums they are seeking in the marketplace. To qualify for the full “USDA Organic” label they would be required to replace all synthetic chemical ingredients like pectin (used in making organic jams and jellies) with naturally occurring versions such as those derived from fruit waste by-products.

Even though the Court decision gave manufacturers until July, 2007 to comply, OTA organizers argued that it could find it too difficult to reformulate their ingredients - hurting farmers, putting companies out of business and limiting the availability of organic food in the marketplace. Heavily influenced by their newer Big Food members, OTA unilaterally pushed into the rider action to rewrite the law instead.

The Background

This legislative intrigue is just the latest round in a continuing struggle over defining and preserving organic standards. In 1998, for instance, a huge public reaction forced USDA’s National Organic Program (NOP) to rescind and rewrite their first attempt at a Rule, which included the use of GMO’s, sewage sludge and food irradiation as acceptable organic practices.

Some organic insiders contend that these obviously non-organic items were put in as a red herring, designed to deflect attention from a number of questionable rulings made by the NOP, including a 1995 processor-friendly interpretation of the organic law approving a National List of 38 synthetic processing chemicals that could qualify for the organic label. A NOP interpretation also created a loophole allowing a category of processing chemicals called “substances not needing review” that are not on the National List.

The National Organic Standards Board (NOSB) was created as a citizen review panel to safeguard organic standards by the Organic Food Production Act of 1990 and given sole legal responsibility for determining additions to the National List. Despite warnings from observers (some within NOSB itself) that synthetics were not allowed under the act, the list took shape with considerable input from the processor representatives on the NOSB as well as open participation from the greater organic community.

Despite the underlying legal controversy, the National List of Synthetic Substances was instituted as part of the NOP’s final Rule, which went into effect in October 2002. Two days after the Rule was launched, an organic farmer from Maine took the matter to court. Arthur Harvey, an organic blueberry grower, processor and farm certification inspector, filed suit at his own expense against the Secretary of Agriculture to turn things around. But as the law began its slow journey through the courts, the synthetic provisions of the new Rule became the status quo for the big food processors’ “business as usual.”

In a reply to criticism from OTA consultant, Grace Gershuny, (www. restorativeagricultural Gawshuny_andreplay.html) Harvey maintains: “If industry people succeed in stamping out this principle (of no synthetics added) there will be nothing to stop industry lobbyists as they team up with USDA to convert organic standards into nothing more than a label which takes advantage of gullible consumers.”

Groups of stakeholders met repeatedly in summer 2005 to deal with the fallout. Processors in OTA claimed the industry needed to return to the pre-Harvey status quo to maintain production and insisted that changing OFPA was the only way to do it. They also argued [legal issues aside] that changing the law to change policy was “undemocratic” because acceptable standards had been worked out by a number of participants through the rulemaking process. This argument overlooks the considerable organic community input that went into the formulation of OFPA in the late 1980’s, however, before Big Food had much interest in organic markets.

Many other groups were reluctant to open the law to legislative changes because they saw it as an opportunity for further tampering by special interests. Discounting the Rule modifications suggested by the National Campaign for Sustainable Agriculture Organic Committee, OTA hardened its position and left the bargaining table – virtually launching a preemptive political strike that permanently changing the organic law instead. In September, the US Senate passed a resolution requiring USDA to study the ramshackle rules of the Harvey suit, giving more time for the organic community to reach consensus. Refusing to compromise, however, OTA unilaterally set out on its own strategy, culminating in the placement of the rider into the appropriations bill in October.

Despite the claims of OTA, the rider goes much further than simply restoring the pre-law status quo. The following is a brief comparison of the three items changed by the Rider, showing: (a) the USDA/NOP Rule; (b) the Harvey Appeals Court ruling; (c) The OTA Rider changes to OFPA – which still must go through a public rulemaking phase.

1) Synthetics

a) The USDA rule allowed a NOSE- approved National List of synthetic ingredients to qualify for the organic label, as long as they constitute 5% or less of the total ingredients in the product.

b) Under the Harvey Appeals Court ruling, the Court invalidated the USDA regulation, ruling that OFPA prohibits the use of synthetics.

2) Dairy Herd Conversion

a) The USDA rule allowed dairy herds to be converted to organic production in one year by feeding them at least 80% organic feed for one year prior to the sale of milk products as organic.

b) Based on OFPA, the Harvey ruling negated USDA’s 80/20 provision and required dairy farms to convert to 100% organic feed for at least one year prior to the sale of milk products as organic.

3. Commercial Availability

a) The USDA rule empowered accredited certifiers to substitute non-organic ingredients if the processor can demonstrate the organic form is not available.

b) The Harvey Appeals Court ruling stated that the milk could be sold as organic as soon as the land qualifies for organic certification – but also allows replacement animals to be fed conventional feed containing Genetically Modified Organisms (GMOs), antibiotics, hormones, etc. even after farmers had converted their farms and herds to organic, up to one year before the cows’ milk products are marketed as organic.

d) The OTA Rider changes OFPA to allow farmers to feed their herds farm- grown, third-party certified feed for up to 9 months and shifting to 100% certified feed for the last 3 months of the conversion.

e) The OTA Rider language reinstates the use of the NOSE-approved National List ingredients – but also builds in a loophole allowing the use of over 500 synthetic substances such as processing aids and food contact chemicals with no restrictions or review.
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A Small Victory

Organic Allies

A number of consumer and public-interest groups are long-time supporters of organic standards:

-- National Campaign for Sustainable Agriculture
www.sustainableagriculture.net
sign up for Action Alerts in the "Get Involved" pages.

-- Consumers Union
www.consumersunion.org Publishers of Consumers Reports; information on Eco-Labels; sign up for Action Alerts

-- Center for Food Safety
www.centerforfoodsafety.org Action alerts and legal action

-- Organic Consumers Association
www.organicconsumers.org Action alerts; mail campaigns; position papers

-- Cornucopia Institute
www.cornucopia.org Support for family-style farming; dairy ratings for organic milk – factory farms or family farms?

-- Arthur Harvey’s website
www.restoreorganiclaw.org

Wag the Dog

Who Done It?

To maneuver their secret legislation through the back halls of Congress, OTA retained a well-connected litigator, William E. Davis, a former District of Columbia federal court judge, and the Abramoff lobbying scandal, Congressional political machinations are finally being exposed and ethics have become a major factor in major election victories. Many of the proposed “reforms” proposed by Republicans and Democrats are designed to mollify public opinion and get congressional corruption out of the lime-light, back to politics-as-usual. As further revelations about the role of lobbying scandals, however, voters in the upcoming election have the opportunity to hold Congress to a higher standard by demanding substantive changes guaranteeing transparency, ethical behavior and integrity in governmental affairs.

Sleazy Is as Sleazy Does

For the grassroots community the sneak attack on organic standards by the OTA rider was just the latest in a series of insider attempts to co-opt organic standards. Back in February, 2003 a Georgia congressman working on behalf of campaign supporter, mega poultry producer Pilgrim’s Pride in the debate over the Agriculture Appropriations bill. She also happens to be the wife of Roy Blunt (R-MO), the Republican Whip who temporarily took over House leadership position when Tom Delay was forced to step down due to ethics charges. Roy Blunt is well known for establishing Republican fund-raising networks with special interest lobbyists on their list of people to benefit from their actions.

An inside source, speaking on the condition of anonymity, said that OTA was deemed too closely allied with special interests, however, and in February his Republican colleagues elected John Boehner (R-OH) to permanently fill the Majority Leader post instead.

The covert rider action was devised and executed by a small cadre of executive and processing company lobbyists with inside connections. Although the OTA Board of Directors was never consulted. Over 200 OTA members signed a letter opposing the rider’s action. Executives at Earthbound Farm, the leading supplier of organic lettuce and greens in the retail market, announced their dismay at finding their name on an OTA letter supporting the rider.(1)

As fuelled by bona fide consumer demand instead of pricey food industry advertising campaigns, organic food sales have exploded – from $3.5 billion in 1997 to $15 billion in 2003. When the Big Food corporations that the organic market’s prospects are the brightest light on the horizon: sales are projected to more than double by 2009.

The covert rider action was devised and executed by a small cadre of executive and processing company lobbyists with inside connections. Although the OTA Board of Directors was never consulted. Over 200 OTA members signed a letter opposing the rider’s action. Executives at Earthbound Farm, the leading supplier of organic lettuce and greens in the retail market, announced their dismay at finding their name on an OTA letter supporting the rider.(1)
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Growing Organic

As veterans of many battles upholding organic standards, members of the organic community sporadically question the wisdom of being involved with the agribusiness influence found within the USDA bureaucracy in the first place. The issue comes back to verification of practices and prevention of fraud to protect consumers. For years, farming organizations and some state governments ran independent certification programs that verified farmer practices for consumer certainty without federal government involvement.

Although NOFA supported the concept of a National Organic Program early on to promote organic agriculture and provide research, statistical and extension services, we warned against placing USDA in the certification- accreditation role. Indeed, USDA has primarily promoted the interests of the big industrial players. Its accreditation is uneven, the NOP has never appointed the “Peer Review Panel” that NOPA mandated to oversee the fairness of accreditation and no inspections have ever been conducted on any of the off-shore certifiers.

While organic certification is mandatory for interstate sales, a number of farmers who market locally (including some original grassroots pioneers) have decided to opt out of the certification system altogether, relying instead on their local reputation in the community. The Farmers Pledge™ is an alternative approach where farmers promise to follow bona fide organic practices and open their farms to community verification. The NOP governs the legal use of the organic label, however, and only certified farmers are allowed to sell their produce as “organic.” Very small-scale producers are protected by a provision allowing them to market as organic without being certified if their sales are under $5,000 a year.

Despite recent growing pains, the grass-roots NOFAs would like to see the entire agricultural system transition to organic and welcomes new farmers and businesses into the organic community. Farmers are finding expanding markets supplying the new organic product lines of previously conventional food companies. Faced with going out of business, a number of grain and dairy operations have found a new organic lease on life. Newly arrived practitioners attracted solely by the prospect of higher prices are cautioned, however, that organic does not just mean substituting some organic inputs for chemical ones. Organic agriculture represents a complete paradigm shift to non-toxic, holistic, ecological practices requiring substantial soil fertility enhancements as well as consider- able farmer between-the-ears adjustments.

Further, the transition to organic doesn’t stop at the farm. Consumers understand the spirit as well as the substance of organic. It is not just a marketing label – it stands for a broader “green” food system with social justice, environmental protection, fair trade, ethical behavior and healthy nutritious food free of pesticides and other toxic synthetics. The hallmark of the grassroots organic community has always been open and transparent dealings between farmers, businesses and consumers. Big Companies who are just looking for a slice of the organic pie are put on notice: they are being held to higher standards all around, not only for food quality but also of ethics, in the way they do business.

Sources: (hot links available on NOFA website)


(2) SourceWatch, a project of the Center for Media and Democracy
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Warm Colors Apiary

2 South Mill River Road, South Deerfield, Massachusetts 01373

“Practicing the Art of Beekeeping”

*We produce fine regional honeys and beeswax candles.*

*Gifts and locally made products available at our farm store or online.*

*A complete inventory of beekeeping equipment & supplies.*

*Workshops and classes for beginning & experienced beekeepers.*

*Pollination Services for fruit and vegetable growers.*

---

**Call today for your special organic and natural honey needs:**

Warm Colors Apiary

Farm Store open Wednesdays and weekends 9 – 5 (Mar-Dec)

warmcolors@verizon.net 413-665-4513 www.warmcolorsapiary.com

---

GIROUX’S POULTRY FARM

8957 Route 9

Chazy, N.Y. 12921

---

GIROUX’S POULTRY COMPOST

• Premium organic compost: approximate N-P-K of 2-3-2. (High fertility)

• Contains natural materials: chicken manure & sawdust.

• Adds microbes to your soil.

• Helps to suppress plant pathogens.

• Excellent soil conditioner.

• Increases organic matter.

• Bulk trailer deliveries or pickups

• Custom spreading available.

Call 518-846-7300 for more details.